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## Overview: The Partitionability Conjecture

The focus of this talk is the following conjecture, described in Stanley's Green Book as "a central combinatorial conjecture on Cohen-Macaulay complexes."

## Partitionability Conjecture (Stanley 1979)

Every Cohen-Macaulay simplicial complex is partitionable.

## Theorem (DGKM '15+)

The Partitionability Conjecture is false. We construct an explicit counterexample and describe a general method to construct more.

## Partitionability

$X^{d}=$ pure simplicial complex of dimension $d$; facets $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$
A partitioning of $X$ is a decomposition

$$
x=\coprod_{j=1}^{n}\left[R_{j}, F_{j}\right] \quad \text { where } \quad[R, F] \stackrel{\text { def }}{=}\{\sigma \mid R \subseteq \sigma \subseteq F\} .
$$

If $X$ is partitionable, then its $h$-vector has the combinatorial interpretation

$$
h_{i}(X)=\#\left\{i \mid \# R_{i}=j\right\} .
$$

In particular, $X$ partitionable $\Longrightarrow h(X) \geq 0$.

## Partitionability and Shellability

- Every shelling order $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n}$ gives rise to a partitioning.
- Cohen-Macaulay complexes are an important class of simplicial complexes with the same $h$-vectors as shellable complexes.

$$
\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}
\hline \text { shellable } & \Longrightarrow \text { constructible } & \text { Cohen-Macaulay. } \\
\hline
\end{array}
$$

- The Partitionability Conjecture would have provided a combinatorial interpretation for the $h$-vectors of all Cohen-Macaulay complexes.
- Note: Our counterexample is constructible.


## Algebraic Consequence: Stanley's Depth Conjecture

$X$ is $\mathrm{CM} \Longleftrightarrow$ Stanley-Reisner ring $\mathbb{k}[X]$ is CM $\Longleftrightarrow \operatorname{dim} \mathbb{k}[X]=\operatorname{depth} \mathbb{k}[X]$.

Stanley depth (sdepth) is an analogous combinatorial invariant.
Depth Conjecture (Stanley 1982)
Let $S=\mathbb{k}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right]$ and $I \subset S$ be any monomial ideal. Then

$$
\text { sdepth } R \geq \text { depth } R \text {. }
$$

Theorem (Herzog-Jahan-Yassemi 2008)
The Depth Conjecture implies the Partitionability Conjecture.
Therefore, our construction disproves the Depth Conjecture.

## Relative Simplicial Complexes

## Definition

A relative simplicial complex $Q$ on vertex set $[n]$ is a convex subset of the Boolean algebra $2^{[n]}$. That is,

$$
\sigma, \tau \in Q, \sigma \subseteq \rho \subseteq \tau \quad \Longrightarrow \quad \rho \in Q
$$

Every relative complex can be written as $(X, A)=X \backslash A$, where $A \subseteq X$ are simplicial complexes.


## Reducing to the Relative Case

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
X=\mathrm{CM} \text { complex } & A \subset X: \text { induced, } \mathrm{CM}, \text { codim } 0 \text { or } 1 \\
Q=(X, A): \mathrm{CM} & N>\# \text { faces of } A
\end{array}
$$

Construct $\Omega$ by gluing $N$ copies of $X$ together along $A$.

- $\Omega$ is CM by Mayer-Vietoris. On the level of face posets,

$$
\Omega=Q_{1} \cup \cdots \cup Q_{N} \cup A, \quad Q_{i} \cong Q \quad \forall i .
$$

- If $\Omega$ has a partitioning $\mathcal{P}$, then by pigeonhole

$$
\exists Q_{i}: \quad[R, F] \in \mathcal{P}, \quad F \in Q_{i} \quad \Longrightarrow \quad R \notin A .
$$

- Therefore, the partitioning of $\Omega$ induces a partitioning of $Q$.

Problem: Find a suitable $Q$.

## Background: Unshellable Balls

Mary Ellen Rudin (1958) constructed a simplicial 3-ball that is not shellable, with $f$-vector $(1,14,66,94,41)$ and $h$-vector $(1,10,30)$.

Günter Ziegler (1998) constructed a smaller non-shellable simplicial 3 -ball $\mathbf{Z}$, with $f$-vector $(1,10,38,50,21)$ and $h$-vector $(1,6,14)$. Its facets are

| 0123 | 0125 | 0237 | 0256 | 0267 | 1234 | 1249 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1256 | 1269 | 1347 | 1457 | 1458 | 1489 | 1569 |
| 1589 | 2348 | 2367 | 2368 | 3478 | 3678 | 4578 |

## Our Counterexample

## Theorem (DGKM 2015+)

Let $Z$ be Ziegler's ball, and let $B=\left.Z\right|_{0,2,3,4,6,7,8}$.

1. $B$ is a shellable, hence CM, simplicial 3-ball. It $f(B)=(1,7,18,19,7)$. So it is CM (in fact it is shellable).
2. $Q=(Z, B)$ is relative $C M$, and not partitionable. Its minimal faces are the three vertices $1,5,9$.
3. Therefore, the simplicial complex obtained by gluing $(1+7+18+19+7)+1=53$ copies of $Z$ together along $B$ is a counterexample to the Partitionability Conjecture.

Assertion (2) can be proved by elementary methods.

## A Smaller Counterexample

- The complex $Q=(Z, B)$ can be expressed most efficiently as a relative complex $(X, A)$ with

$$
f(X)=(1,10,31,36,14), \quad f(A)=(1,7,11,5) .
$$

- So a much smaller counterexample can be constructed by gluing together $(1+7+11+5)+1=25$ copies of $Z$ along $A$.
- In fact, gluing three copies of $X$ along $A$ produces a counterexample $\Omega$, with

$$
f(\Omega)=3 f(X)-2 f(A)=(1,16,71,98,42)
$$

- This is the smallest counterexample we know.


## Some Open Questions

- Is there a smaller counterexample, perhaps in dimension 2?
- What is the "right" strengthening of constructibility that implies partitionability? ("Strongly constructible" complexes, as studied by Hachimori, are partitionable.)
- Is there a different combinatorial interpretation of the $h$-vectors of Cohen-Macaulay complexes? (Yes; it's coming.)
- Are all simplicial balls partitionable? (Yes if they have a convex embedding.)
- What are the further consequences for the theory of Stanley depth? (Katthän conjectures that sdepth $R \geq$ depth $R-1$.)


## Garsia's Conjecture

## Conjecture (Garsia 1979)

Let $P$ be a Cohen-Macaulay poset (i.e., a ranked poset whose order complex $\Delta(P)$ is Cohen-Macaulay). Then $\Delta(P)$ is partitionable.

This conjecture remains open, as our counterexample is not even balanced, let alone an order complex.

## Duval and Zhang's Interpretation of $h(\Delta)$

Theorem (Duval-Zhang 2001)
If $\Delta$ is Cohen-Macaulay, then its face poset admits a decomposition into Boolean trees whose tops are facets and whose bottoms are enumerated by $h(\Delta)$.


## A Colorful Duval-Zhang-type Conjecture

Recall that a (pure) simplicial complex $\Delta^{d-1}$ is balanced if its vertex set can be colored with $d$ colors so that no face contains more than one vertex of any color.

The flag $f$-vector of a balanced complex has entries

$$
\alpha_{S}(\Delta)=\#\{\sigma \in \Delta \mid \operatorname{color}(\sigma)=S\}, \quad S \subseteq[d]
$$

and the flag $h$-vector has entries

$$
\beta_{S}(\Delta)=\sum_{T \subseteq S}(-1)^{|S|-|T|} \alpha_{S}(\Delta)
$$

If $\Delta$ is balanced and CM then the flag $h$-vector is nonnegative.

## A Colorful Duval-Zhang-type Conjecture

## Conjecture

If $\Delta$ is balanced and Cohen-Macaulay, then its face poset admits a decomposition into balanced Boolean trees whose tops are facets and whose bottoms are enumerated by the flag h-vector.


Balanced


Not balanced

Goeckner is currently working on extending the Duval-Zhang argument to prove this conjecture.

## Thanks for listening!

## Appendix A: Stanley Depth

## Definition

Let $S=\mathbb{k}\left[x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right] ; \mu \in S$ a monomial; and $X \subseteq\left\{x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right\}$. The corresponding Stanley space in $S$ is the vector space

$$
\mu \cdot \mathbb{k}[X]=\mathbb{k}-\operatorname{span}\{\mu \nu \mid \operatorname{supp}(\nu) \subseteq X\}
$$

Let $I \subseteq S$ be a monomial ideal. A Stanley decomposition of $S / I$ is a family of Stanley spaces

$$
\mathcal{D}=\left\{\mu_{1} \cdot \mathbb{k}\left[X_{1}\right], \ldots, \mu_{r} \cdot \mathbb{k}\left[X_{r}\right]\right\}
$$

such that

$$
S / I=\bigoplus^{r} \mu_{i} \cdot \mathbb{k}\left[X_{i}\right]
$$

## Appendix A: Stanley Depth

## Definition

The Stanley depth of $S / I$ is

$$
\text { sdepth } S / I=\max _{\mathcal{D}} \min \left\{\left|X_{i}\right|\right\}
$$

where $\mathcal{D}$ runs over all Stanley decompositions of $S / I$.

For a nice introduction, see M. Pournaki, S. Fakhari, M. Tousi and S. Yassemi, "What is Stanley depth?", Notices AMS 2009

## Appendix B: A Small Relative Counterexample

There is a much smaller relative counterexample to the Partitionability Conjecture inside Ziegler's ball Z.

It is $Q^{\prime}=\left(X^{\prime}, A^{\prime}\right)$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
X^{\prime} & =\langle 1589,1489,1458,1457,4578\rangle=\left.Z\right|_{145789} \\
A^{\prime} & =\langle 489,589,578,157\rangle
\end{aligned}
$$

- $Q^{\prime}$ is CM (since $X^{\prime}, A^{\prime}$ are shellable and $A^{\prime} \subset \partial X^{\prime}$ )
- $f\left(Q^{\prime}\right)=(0,0,5,10,5)$.
- Minimal faces are edges rather than vertices, so $Q^{\prime}$ cannot be expressed as $(X, A)$ where $A$ is an induced subcomplex.


## Appendix B: A Small Relative Counterexample

Here's the face poset of $Q^{\prime}$ :


A partitioning of $Q^{\prime}$ would correspond to a decomposition of this poset into five pairwise-disjoint diamonds.

It is not hard to check by hand that no such decomposition exists.

