

Math 141 Homework #9  
Due Tuesday, 10/23/07 Extra Problems

**Problem #1** Consider the function

$$b(x) = x^2 + \frac{\ln|x-2|}{1000}.$$

(#1a) Without using a calculator, sketch the graph of  $b(x)$  for  $-10 \leq x \leq 10$ .

(#1b) Enter  $b(x)$  into your calculator and have it draw the graph. The result will probably not look like the graph you drew in part 1 (at least if you are using a TI-83+ or something similar). Who's right, you or the calculator?

(#1c) Can you resolve this problem by changing the viewing window?

**Problem #2** Read [this article from the Lawrence Journal-World](#) and write a sentence or two clearly explaining the mathematical basis for Sen. Haley's proposal.

**Problem #3** (Bonus problem; challenging!) The Extreme Value Theorem states that if a function  $f(x)$  is continuous on a closed interval  $I$ , then  $f$  achieves a global maximum and a global minimum on  $I$ . In class on Tuesday 10/16, we discussed the possibility that  $f$  has infinitely many critical numbers in  $I$ . Let's call a function *wild* if it exhibits this behavior, and *tame* otherwise. As we saw in class, an example of a wild function is

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} x \sin\left(\frac{1}{x}\right) & \text{if } 0 < x \leq 1 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 1 \end{cases}$$

on the interval  $I = [0, 1]$ .

We know that if  $f$  is tame, then we can find the global minimum and maximum of  $f$  on  $I$  by listing all the (finitely many) critical numbers and endpoints, evaluating  $f$  at each of them, and comparing the values. We'd like to prove that this method still works even for wild functions.

(#3a) First, explain why the range of  $f$  must be an interval. That is, rule out the possibility that the range is something like

$$[-4, 0) \cup (1, 3]$$

or

$$[-4, 0) \cup (0, 3)$$

or

$$\{1, 2, 3, 5, 8, 13, 21\}.$$

The next step is to figure out whether the interval is open, closed or half-and-half, and whether it is finite or infinite.

(#3b) Second, prove the following Lemma. If  $\{x_1, x_2, x_3, \dots\}$  is an *infinite* set of numbers in  $I$ , then there is some number  $a \in I$  that is an "accumulation point" of the  $x_i$ 's — that is, with the property that any open<sup>1</sup> interval containing  $a$  also contains at least one of the  $x_i$ 's.

This Lemma is a key tool for the rest of the problem. If you don't see how to prove the Lemma, that's okay; you can still do the rest of the problem by assuming that the Lemma is true.

---

<sup>1</sup>Or possibly half-open, if  $a$  is an endpoint of  $I$ , but you can ignore that case if you want to.

(#3c) Now prove that  $f$  is *bounded* on  $I$ ; that is, there are numbers  $A$  and  $B$  (for “above” and “below”) such that

$$B \leq f(x) \leq A$$

for every  $x \in I$ . (Hint: Think about what would have to happen if  $f$  is *not* bounded, and use the Lemma.)

Another way of saying this result is that the range of  $f$  is a subset of the interval  $[B, A]$ , therefore a finite interval. We might as well assume that the interval is one of the following:

$$[B, A], \quad (B, A], \quad [B, A), \quad (B, A).$$

(#3d) Rule out the possibility that the range is an open or half-open interval. (Hint: The numbers  $(A + B)/2$ ,  $(2A + B)/3$ ,  $(3A + B)/4$ ,  $\dots$  all lie in the range; use this together with the Lemma.)