

## Wednesday 3/12

### Modular Elements

Let  $L$  be a lattice. Recall that  $L$  is *modular* if it is ranked, and its rank function  $r$  satisfies

$$(1) \quad r(x) + r(y) = r(x \vee y) + r(x \wedge y)$$

for every  $x, y \in L$ . (This is not how we first defined modular lattices, but we proved that it is an equivalent condition; see notes from 1/30 and 2/1.)

**Definition 1.** An element  $x \in L$  is a **modular element** if (1) holds for every  $y \in L$ . Thus  $L$  is modular if and only if every element of  $L$  is modular.

- The elements  $\hat{0}$  and  $\hat{1}$  are clearly modular in any lattice.
- If  $L$  is geometric, then every atom  $x$  is modular. Indeed, for  $y \in L$ , if  $y \geq x$ , then  $y = x \vee y$  and  $x = x \wedge y$ , while if  $y \not\geq x$  then  $y \wedge x = \hat{0}$  and  $y \vee x \succ y$ .
- The coatoms of a geometric lattice, however, need not be modular. Let  $L = \Pi_n$ ; recall that  $\Pi_n$  has rank function  $r(\pi) = n - |\pi|$ . Let  $x = 12|34, y = 13|24 \in \Pi_4$ . Then  $r(x) = r(y) = 2$ , but  $r(x \vee y) = r(\hat{1}) = 3$  and  $r(x \wedge y) = r(\hat{0}) = 0$ . So  $x$  is not a modular element.

**Proposition 1.** *The modular elements of  $\Pi_n$  are exactly the partitions with at most one nonsingleton block.*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\pi \in \Pi_n$  has one nonsingleton block  $B$ . For  $\sigma \in \Pi_n$ , let

$$X = \{C \in \sigma \mid C \cap B \neq \emptyset\}, \quad Y = \{C \in \sigma \mid C \cap B = \emptyset\}.$$

Then

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \wedge \sigma &= \{C \cap B \mid C \in X\} \cup \{\{i\} \mid i \notin B\}, \\ \pi \vee \sigma &= \left\{ \bigcup_{C \in X} C \right\} \cup Y \end{aligned}$$

so

$$\begin{aligned} |\pi \wedge \sigma| + |\pi \vee \sigma| &= (|X| + n - |B|) + (1 + |Y|) \\ &= (n - |B| + 1) + (|X| + |Y|) = |\pi| + |\sigma|, \end{aligned}$$

proving that  $\pi$  is a modular element.

For the converse, let  $B, C$  be nonsingleton blocks of  $\pi$ , then let  $\sigma$  have the two nonsingleton blocks  $\{i, k\}, \{j, \ell\}$ , where  $i, j \in B$  and  $k, \ell \in C$ . Then  $r(\sigma) = 2$  and  $r(\pi \wedge \sigma) = r(\hat{0}) = 0$ , but

$$r(\pi \vee \sigma) = r(\pi) + 1 < r(\pi) + r(\sigma) - r(\pi \wedge \sigma)$$

so  $\pi$  is not a modular element. □

The usefulness of a modular element is that if one exists, we can factor the characteristic polynomial of  $L$ .

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $L$  be a geometric lattice of rank  $n$ , and let  $z \in L$  be a modular element. Then*

$$(2) \quad \chi_L(k) = \chi_{[\hat{0}, z]}(k) \cdot \left[ \sum_{y: y \wedge z = \hat{0}} \mu_L(\hat{0}, y) k^{n-r(z)-r(y)} \right].$$

I'll skip the proof, which uses calculation in the Möbius algebra; see Stanley, HA, pp. 50–52.

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $L$  be a geometric lattice, and let  $a \in L$  be an atom. Then*

$$\chi_L(k) = (k-1) \sum_{x: x \not\leq a} \mu_L(\hat{0}, x) k^{r(L)-1-r(x)}.$$

(We already knew that  $k-1$  had to be a factor of  $\chi_L(k)$ , because  $\chi_L(1) = \sum_{x \in L} \mu_L(\hat{0}, x) = 0$ . Still, it's nice to see it another way.)

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $L$  be a geometric lattice, and let  $z \in L$  be a coatom that is a modular element. Then*

$$\chi_L(k) = (k-e) \chi_{[\hat{0}, z]}(k),$$

where  $e$  is the number of atoms  $a \in L$  such that  $a \not\leq z$ .

**Example 1.** Corollary 4 provides another way of calculating the characteristic polynomial of  $\Pi_n$ . Let  $z$  be the coatom with blocks  $[n-1]$  and  $\{n\}$ , which is a modular element by Proposition 1. There are  $n-1$  atoms  $a \not\leq z$ , namely the partitions whose nonsingleton block is  $\{i, n\}$  for some  $i \in [n-1]$ , so we obtain

$$\chi_{\Pi_n}(k) = (k-n+1) \chi_{\Pi_{n-1}}(k)$$

and by induction

$$\chi_{\Pi_n}(k) = (k-1)(k-2) \cdots (k-n+1).$$

## Supersolvable Lattices

Let  $L$  be a geometric lattice with atoms  $A$ . Recall from (2) that if  $z$  is a modular element of  $L$ , then the characteristic polynomial of  $L$  factors:

$$\chi_L(k) = \chi_{[\hat{0}, z]}(k) \cdot \left[ \sum_{y: y \wedge z = \hat{0}} \mu_L(\hat{0}, y) k^{n-r(z)-r(y)} \right].$$

Of course, we can always apply this for an atom  $z$  (Corollary 3). But, as we've seen with  $\Pi_n$ , something even better happens if  $z$  is a *coatom*: we can express  $\chi_L(k)$  as the product of a linear form (the bracketed sum) with the characteristic polynomial of a smaller geometric lattice, namely  $[\hat{0}, z]$ .

If we are extremely lucky,  $L$  will have a maximal chain of modular elements

$$\hat{0} = x_0 \triangleleft x_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft x_{n-1} \triangleleft x_n = \hat{1}.$$

In this case, we can apply Corollary 4 successively with  $z = x_{n-1}$ ,  $z = x_{n-2}$ ,  $\dots$ ,  $z = x_1$  to split the characteristic polynomial completely into linear factors:

$$\begin{aligned} \chi_L(k) &= (k - e_{n-1}) \chi_{[\hat{0}, x_{n-1}]}(k) \\ &= (k - e_{n-1})(k - e_{n-2}) \chi_{[\hat{0}, x_{n-2}]}(k) \\ &= \dots \\ &= (k - e_{n-1})(k - e_{n-2}) \cdots (k - e_0), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} e_i &= \#\{\text{atoms } a \text{ of } [\hat{0}, x_{i+1}] \mid a \not\leq x_i\} \\ &= \#\{a \in A \mid a \leq x_{i+1}, a \not\leq x_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

**Definition 2.** A geometric lattice  $L$  is **supersolvable** if it has a *modular maximal chain*, that is, a maximal chain  $\hat{0} = x_0 \triangleleft x_1 \triangleleft \cdots \triangleleft x_n = \hat{1}$  such that every  $x_i$  is a modular element. A central hyperplane arrangement  $\mathcal{A}$  is called supersolvable if  $L(\mathcal{A})$  is supersolvable.

- Any modular lattice is supersolvable, because every maximal chain is modular.
- $\Pi_n$  is supersolvable. because we can take  $x_i$  to be the partition whose unique nonsingleton block is  $[i+1]$ . Thus the braid arrangement  $Br_n$  is supersolvable.

- Let  $G = C_4$  (a cycle with four vertices and four edges), and let  $\mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A}_G$ . Then  $L(\mathcal{A})$  is the lattice of flats of the matroid  $U_3(4)$ ; i.e.,

$$L = \{F \subseteq [4] : |F| \neq 3\}$$

with  $r(F) = \min(|F|, 3)$ . This lattice is not supersolvable, because no element at rank 2 is modular. For example, let  $x = 12$  and  $y = 34$ ; then  $r(x) = r(y) = 2$  but  $r(x \vee y) = 3$  and  $r(x \wedge y) = 0$ .

**Theorem 5.** *Let  $G = (V, E)$  be a simple graph. Then  $\mathcal{A}_G$  is supersolvable if and only if the vertices of  $G$  can be ordered  $v_1, \dots, v_n$  such that for every  $i > 1$ , the set*

$$C_i := \{v_j \mid j \leq i, v_i v_j \in E\}$$

*forms a clique in  $G$ .*

I'll omit the proof, which is not too hard; see Stanley, pp. 55–57. An equivalent condition is that  $G$  is a **chordal graph**: if  $C \subseteq G$  is a cycle of length  $\geq 4$ , then some pair of vertices that are not adjacent in  $C$  are in fact adjacent in  $G$ .

By the way, it is easy to see that if  $G$  satisfies the condition of Theorem 5, then the chromatic polynomial  $\chi(G; k)$  splits into linear factors. Consider what happens when we color the vertices in order. When we color vertex  $v_i$ , it has  $|C_i|$  neighbors that have already been colored, and they all have received different colors because they form a clique. Therefore, there are  $k - |C_i|$  possible colors available for  $v_i$ , and we see that

$$\chi(G; k) = \prod_{i=1}^n (k - |C_i|).$$