Monday 2/18

The Tutte Polynomial

Let M be a matroid with ground set E. Recall that we can delete or contract an element $e \in E$ to obtain respectively the matroids M - e and M/e on $E \setminus \{e\}$, whose basis systems are

$$\mathscr{B}(M-e) = \{ B \mid B \in \mathscr{B}(M), \ e \notin B \},$$
$$\mathscr{B}(M/e) = \{ B \setminus e \mid B \in \mathscr{B}(M), \ e \in B \}.$$

Thus deletion is defined whenever e is not a coloop, and contraction is defined whenever e is not a loop.

Definition 1. The **Tutte polynomial** of *M* is compute recursively as

(1)
$$T(M) = T(M; x, y) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E = \emptyset, \\ x \cdot T(M/e) & \text{if } e \text{ is a coloop,} \\ y \cdot T(M - e) & \text{if } e \text{ is a loop,} \\ T(M - e) + T(M/e) & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

for any $e \in E$.

If M = M(G) is a graphic matroid, we may write T(G) instead of T(M(G)).

This is more of an algorithm than a definition, and at this point, it is not even clear that T(M) is welldefined, because the formula seems to depend on the order in which we pick elements to delete and contract. However, a miracle occurs: it doesn't! We will soon prove this by giving a closed formula for T(M) that does not depend on any such choice.

In the case that M is a uniform matroid, then it is clear at this point that T(M) is well-defined by (1), because, up to isomorphism, M - e and M/e are independent of the choices of $e \in E$.

Example 1. Suppose that $M \cong U_n(n)$, that is, every element of E is a coloop. By induction, $T(M)(x, y) = x^n$. Dually, if $M \cong U_0(n)$ (i.e., every element of E is a loop), then $T(M)(x, y) = y^n$.

Example 2. Let $M \cong U_1(2)$ (the graphic matroid of the "digon", two vertices joined by two parallel edges). Let $e \in E$; then

$$T(M) = T(M - e) + T(M/e)$$

= T(U₁(1)) + T(U₀(1)) = x + y.

Example 3. Let $M \cong U_2(3)$ (the graphic matroid of K_3 , as well as the matroid associated with the geometric lattice $\Pi_3 \cong M_5$). Applying (1) for any $e \in E$ gives

$$T(U_2(3)) = T(U_2(2)) + T(U_1(2)) = x^2 + x + y.$$

On the other hand,

$$T(U_1(3)) = T(U_1(2)) + T(U_0(2)) = x + y + y^2.$$

In general, we can represent a calculation of T(M) by a binary tree in which moving down corresponds to deleting or contracting:



Example 4. Here is a non-uniform example. Let G be the graph below.



One possibility is to recurse on edge a (or equivalently on b, c, or d). When we delete a, the edge d becomes a coloop, and contracting it produces a copy of K_3 . Therefore

$$T(G-a) = x(x^2 + x + y)$$

by Example 3. Next, apply the recurrence to the edge b in G/a. The graph G/a-b has a coloop c, contracting which produces a digon. Meanwhile, $M(G/a/b) \cong U_1(3)$. Therefore

$$T(G/a - b) = x(x + y)$$
 and $T(G/a/b) = x + y + y^2$.

Putting it all together, we get

$$T(G) = T(G-a) + T(G/a)$$

= $T(G-a) + T(G/a-b) + T(G/a/b)$
= $x(x^2 + x + y) + x(x + y) + (x + y + y^2)$
= $x^3 + 2x^2 + 2xy + x + y + y^2$.



On the other hand, we could have recursed first on e, getting

$$T(G) = T(G-e) + T(G/e)$$

= $T(G-e-c) + T(G-e/c) + T(G/e-c) + T(G/e/c)$
= $x^3 + (x^2 + x + y) + x(x + y) + y(x + y)$
= $x^3 + 2x^2 + 2xy + x + y + y^2$.



We can actually see the usefulness of T(M) even before proving that it is well-defined! **Proposition 1.** T(M; 1, 1) equals the number of bases of M.

Proof. Let b(M) = T(M; 1, 1). Then (1) gives

$$b(M) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E = \emptyset, \\ b_{G/e} & \text{if } e \text{ is a coloop} \\ b_{G-e} & \text{if } e \text{ is a loop} \\ b(M-e) + b(M/e) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

rrence for $|\mathscr{B}(M)|$ that we observed on Friday 2/15.

which is identical to the recurrence for $|\mathscr{B}(M)|$ that we observed on Friday 2/15.

Many other invariants of M can be found in this way by making appropriate substitutions for the indeterminates x, y in T(M). In particular, if we let c(M) = T(M; 2, 2), then

$$c(M) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } E = \emptyset, \\ 2c_{G/e} & \text{if } e \text{ is a coloop} \\ 2c_{G-e} & \text{if } e \text{ is a loop} \\ c(M-e) + c(M/e) & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

so $c(M) = 2^{|E|}$. This suggests that T(M) ought to have a closed formula as a sum over subsets $A \subseteq E$, with each summand becoming 1 upon setting x = 1 and y = 1—for example, with each summand a product of powers of x - 1 and y = 1. In fact, this is the case.

Theorem 2. Let r be the rank function of the matroid M. Then

(2)
$$T(M; x, y) = \sum_{A \subseteq E} (x - 1)^{r(E) - r(A)} (y - 1)^{|A| - r(A)}$$

The quantity r(E) - r(A) is the *corank* of A; it is the minimum number of elements one needs to add to A to obtain a spanning set of M. Meanwhile, |A| - r(A) is the *nullity* of A: the minimum number of elements one needs to remove from A to obtain an acyclic set. Accordingly, (2) is referred to as the the *corank-nullity* generating function.

(As an exercise, work out T(G; x, y) for the graph G of Example 4; you should get the same answer as above.)

Proof of Theorem 2. Let $\tilde{T}(M) = \tilde{T}(M; x, y)$ denote the generating function on the right-hand side of (2). We will prove by induction on n = |E| that $\tilde{T}(M)$ obeys the recurrence (1) for every ground set element e, hence equals T(M). Let r' and r'' denote the rank functions on M - e and M/e respectively. For the base case, if $E = \emptyset$, then (2) gives $\tilde{T}(M) = 1 = T(M)$.

If e is a loop, then $r'(A) = r(A) = r(A \cup e)$ for every $A \subset E \setminus e$, so

$$\begin{split} \tilde{T}(M) &= \sum_{A \subseteq E} (x-1)^{r(E)-r(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r(A)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq E \\ e \notin A}} (x-1)^{r(E)-r(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r(A)} + \sum_{\substack{B \subseteq E \\ e \in B}} (x-1)^{r(E)-r(B)} (y-1)^{|A|-r(B)} \\ &= \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq E \setminus e}} (x-1)^{r'(E\setminus e)-r'(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r'(A)} + \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq E \setminus e}} (x-1)^{r'(E\setminus e)-r'(A)} (y-1)^{|A|+1-r'(A)} \\ &= (1+(y-1)) \sum_{\substack{A \subseteq E \setminus e}} (x-1)^{r'(E\setminus e)-r'(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r'(A)} \\ &= y \tilde{T}(M-e). \end{split}$$

If e is a coloop, then $r''(A)=r(A)=r(A\cup e)-1$ for every $A\subset E\setminus e,$ so

$$\begin{split} \tilde{T}(M) &= \sum_{A \subseteq E} (x-1)^{r(E)-r(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r(A)} \\ &= \sum_{e \notin A \subseteq E} (x-1)^{r(E)-r(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r(A)} + \sum_{e \in B \subseteq E} (x-1)^{r(E)-r(B)} (y-1)^{|A|-r(B)} \\ &= \sum_{A \subseteq E \setminus e} (x-1)^{(r''(E\setminus e)+1)-r''(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r''(A)} \\ &+ \sum_{A \subseteq E \setminus e} (x-1)^{(r''(E\setminus e)+1)-(r''(A)+1)} (y-1)^{|A|+1-(r''(A)+1)} \\ &= \sum_{A \subseteq E \setminus e} (x-1)^{r''(E\setminus e)+1-r''(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r''(A)} + \sum_{A \subseteq E \setminus e} (x-1)^{r''(E\setminus e)-r''(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r''(A)} \\ &= ((x-1)+1) \sum_{A \subseteq E \setminus e} (x-1)^{r''(E\setminus e)-r''(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r''(A)} \\ &= x \tilde{T}(M/e). \end{split}$$

Finally, suppose that e is neither a loop nor a coloop. Then

$$r'(A) = r(A)$$
 and $r''(A) = r(A \cup e) - 1.$

Therefore,

$$\begin{split} \tilde{T}(M) &= \sum_{A \subseteq E} (x-1)^{r(E)-r(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r(A)} \\ &= \sum_{A \subseteq E \setminus e} \left[(x-1)^{r(E)-r(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r(A)} \right] + \left[(x-1)^{r(E)-r(A\cup e)} (y-1)^{|A\cup e|-r(A\cup e)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{A \subseteq E \setminus e} \left[(x-1)^{r'(E\setminus e)-r'(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r'(A)} \right] + \left[(x-1)^{(r''(E)+1)-(r''(A)+1)} (y-1)^{|A|+1-(r''(A)-1)} \right] \\ &= \sum_{A \subseteq E \setminus e} (x-1)^{r'(E\setminus e)-r'(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r'(A)} + \sum_{A \subseteq E \setminus e} (x-1)^{r''(E\setminus e)-r''(A)} (y-1)^{|A|-r''(A)} \\ &= \tilde{T}(M-e) + \tilde{T}(M/e). \end{split}$$